

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/764,314 | RESHETOV ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Sherali Ishrat | 2624 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Sherali Ishrat. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Brent E. Vecchia. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2/15/08

Time: 1:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

8 and 31

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



ISHRAT SHERALI
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that application is in condition for allowance except claims 8 and 31 recite machine readable medium, which raises issue of 35 USC 101. Claims 8 and 31 should recite computer readable medium and computer instructions. Applicant's agreed to make changes by an examiner's amendment..